

APPLICATION BY: National Highways for an Order Granting Development Consent for the A47 Wansford to Sutton scheme

PLANNING INSPECTORATE REF: TR010039

Response by Peterborough City Council to Examiners Questions – 3 – Deadline 8 - 14th June 2022

Ex3	Question to:	Question:	PCC Response
3.1	Air Quality and Emissions		
3.1.2	Interested Parties	<p>Carbon emissions To ask the Applicant and Interested Parties to make any representations in respect of the Proposed Development that they consider appropriate and necessary in the light of the Secretary of State’s conclusions in relation to carbon emissions as set out in the Decision Letters in respect of the M54/M6 Link Project, the M25 Junction 10/ A3 Wisley Interchange Project and the M25 Junction 28 Improvement Project.</p>	No further comments to add.
3.4	Cultural Heritage		
3.4.2	PCC	<p>Thornhaugh Conservation Area Appraisal The version of the Thornhaugh Conservation Area Appraisal – Report and Management Plan provided [REP2-065] is dated October 2018 and is marked “Draft for Consultation”. Could PCC confirm whether this has been taken through to adoption and, if so, provide the adopted version and the date of such adoption?</p>	The Thornhaugh Conservation Area Appraisal – Report and Management Plan was adopted in November 2019. Adopted version is attached.
3.6	Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [REP5-005/REP5-006]		

3.6.5	The Applicant PCC EA Parish Councils	<p>Time limits for deemed consent</p> <p>In the SoS's decision on the M25 Junction 28 Improvement Project he has extended both the period under which, in the absence of a response, a deemed consent is granted, and the period of consultation pursuant to Requirements. In this dDCO this relates to what would be Articles 16(6) (Temporary alteration, diversion prohibition and restriction of use of streets), 20(11) (Traffic Regulation) and 21(8) (Discharge of water) and Schedule 2, Regulation 18 (Details of Consultation). Could the Applicant, PCC, the EA and Parish Councils please give their responses to the SoS's decision.</p>	PCC agrees with the extended time period.
3.12	Water environment and flood risk		
3.12.1	The Applicant EA PCC	<p>Peak rainfall allowances</p> <p>Could the Applicant, EA and PCC please respond to the publication by the EA of updated guidance on peak rainfall allowances in 'Flood risk assessments: climate change allowances' which was published on 10 May 2022 in respect of any implications this may have for the consideration of the Proposed Development.</p>	<p>The Proposed Scheme has been designed with a peak rainfall allowance 40% during the 1% annual exceedance event, which was in line with the previous peak rainfall allowances and the Peterborough Flood and Water Management Supplementary Planning Document. The upper end peak rainfall allowance for the 1% annual exceedance event remains at 40% for the Nene Management Catchment and the Proposed Scheme remains in line with the latest allowances. It is the LLFA's view that requiring the latest peak rainfall allowances for the 3.3% annual exceedance event, as published on 10th May 2022, would be difficult to justify given that the Proposed Scheme was compliant with the correct peak rainfall allowances at the time of detailed design.</p>